

Order Addressing Pending Motions
G:\PRO-SE\LHK\CR.11\Quiroz016misc5.wpd

1 The court is reluctant to get involved with prison procedures and policies regarding what is and
2 what is not permissible behavior or requests from individual prisoners. Courts owe “substantial
3 deference to the professional judgment of prison administrators.” *Overton v. Bazzetta*, 539 U.S.
4 126, 132 (2003). However, the court recognizes that plaintiff believes he needs these
5 declarations to properly oppose defendants’ motions. To that end, plaintiff is advised that the
6 court will accept declarations from inmate witnesses under separate cover on behalf of plaintiff,
7 if those declarations clearly identify this case name and number, and include the caption,
8 “DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF’S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
9 SUMMARY JUDGMENT.”

10 Plaintiff has also filed a motion for extension of time to file oppositions to defendants’
11 motions. Plaintiff’s motions are GRANTED. Plaintiff’s oppositions to defendants’ motion for
12 summary judgment and motion to dismiss are due no later than January 13, 2014. Defendants
13 shall file their replies within fourteen days thereafter.

14 Plaintiff has also filed a motion to compel discovery from defendant Short. Defendant
15 Short shall file a response **within 14 days** of the filing date of this order. Plaintiff shall file any
16 reply within **7 days** thereafter.

17 This order terminates docket numbers 214, 216, and 219.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: 12/6/13

20 

LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge